

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,963	02/23/2004	Denny Chiu	16813-5US	7567
20988 OGILVY REN	7590 12/05/2007 AULT LLP		EXAMINER	
1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE			KARIKARI, KWASI	
SUITE 1600 MONTREAL,	OC H3A2Y3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/782,963	CHIU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kwasi Karikari	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Oct     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 14-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 14-20 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

10/782,963 Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/15/2007 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter (i.e., "temporarily activate one of the plurality of notification profiles for <u>an amount of time determined at the mobile device</u>) which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

For examination purposes, the examiner will treat the rejected claimed limitations in light of the overall concept of Applicant's specification.

10/782,963 Art Unit: 2617

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1,3-12 and 14-20 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martinez(U.S. 20020142792 A1), (hereinafter Martinez) in view of Moton, Jr. et al., (U.S. 7,116,977), (hereinafter Moton).

Regarding claims 1,10 and 20, Martinez discloses a method/mobile device/computer program product/ for enabling a mobile device (= device 10, see Fig. 1) to control notification of events, the method comprising:

activating, at the mobile device, a first notification profile (= user preference settings/information or operational set, see Pars. 0021-24 and 0026) comprising a first set of notification control options selected at the mobile device (see Pars. 022-25) wherein the mobile device is capable of comparing both a time parameter and a location parameter with a current time and a current location (= time of day and network present, see Pars. 0022-25);

Art Unit: 2617

enabling <u>definition of any enabled</u> switch condition (=trigger, see Par. 0021-22) by directly specifying at least one of the time parameter and the location parameter <u>at the mobile device</u> (see Pars. 0021-22); and

switching to a second notification profile (= user preference settings/information or operational set, see Pars. 0021-24 and 0026) when the switch condition (= trigger) defined at the mobile device is satisfied (see Pars. 0021-25), the second notification profile comprising a second set of notification control options (see Pars. 0021-25);

wherein the first and second notification profiles (= user preference settings/information or operational set, see Pars. 0021-24 and 0026) each define respective notification control options (see Pars. 0021 and 0026) that apply to the notification of events generated by at least two different event generating mad handling components on the mobile device wherein the event generating and handling components on the mobile device include at least two of an alarm, a calendar, email, phone and SMS (see Pars. 0021-26, 0030, 0032 and 0037-40).

Martinez fails to teach that the current location is determined using at least one of a cellular base station or a Global Positioning System (GPS);

However, the proceeding limitations are disclose in the system of Morton wherein the server 102 uses location information from location systems 106 and 112; and identity information to activate service features subscribed by a subscriber (see col. 5, line 4- col. 6, line 19; col. 9, lines 39-66 and table 1).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Moton with the system of Martinez for the benefit of achieving a

10/782,963

Art Unit: 2617

system that includes GPS and GIS systems to provide redundancy, accuracy and reliability (see Moton, col. 5, lines 4-19).

Regarding claim 3, Martinez further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said switch condition is defined in relationship with both the time and location parameters (= condition/trigger which can be sensed include type of day and the location of the cellular telephone, see Pars. [0009 and 0022]).

**Regarding claims 4**, as recited in claim 1, Martinez fails specifically to mention that said current location is determined only using Global Positioning System.

However, Morton teaches that the system includes one or both network-based location systems 106 and 112 (see col. 5, lines 4-19 and col. 4, line 58- col. 5, line 61).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Moton with the system of Martinez for the benefit of achieving a system that includes GPS and GIS systems to provide redundancy, accuracy and reliability (see Moton, col. 5, lines 4-19).

Regarding claim 5, Martinez further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said method comprising storing the switch condition in association with one of the first and second notification profiles to facilitate re-use of a stored switch condition (see Pars. 0005, 0008, and 0021).

10/782,963

Art Unit: 2617

**Regarding claim 6**, Martinez further discloses the method of claim 5, wherein defining the switch condition comprises accessing the stored switch condition for re-use (see Pars. 0005, 0008, and 0021-23).

Regarding claim 7, Martinez further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said first notification profile comprises options defined to disable notification of at least some of the events and said second user notification profile comprises options defined to enable notification of said at least some of the events whereby the switching automatically enables notification upon the satisfaction of the switch condition (see Pars. 0021-26).

Regarding claim 8, Martinez further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said first notification profile comprises options defined to enable notification of at least some of the events and said second notification profile comprises options defined to disable notification of said at least some of the events whereby the switching automatically disables notification upon the satisfaction of the switch condition (see Pars. 0021-26).

Regarding claim 9, Martinez further discloses the method of claim 1, comprising: enabling said first notification profile to control the notification thereby replacing a previously enabled notification profile; and defining said second notification profile in accordance with said previously enabled notification profile such that said switching automatically re-enables the previously enabled notification profile (see Pars. 0021-26).

10/782,963 Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 11, Martinez further discloses the device of claim 10, comprising: wherein the <u>notification</u> profile enablement component enables <u>definition</u>, at the <u>mobile</u> <u>device</u>, of switch conditions for more than one of said <u>notification</u> profiles (see Pars. 0009 and 0021-26).

Regarding claim 12, Martinez further discloses the device of claim 11 wherein the notification profile enablement component defines switch conditions in response to both the time parameter and the device location parameter (see Pars. 0009 and 0021-26).

Regarding claim 14, Martinez further discloses the device of claim 10 comprising a switch condition monitoring component to monitor the satisfaction of the switch condition to determine the automatic switching (see Pars. 0009 and 0021-26, 0030, 0032 and 0037-40).

Regarding claim 15, Martinez further discloses the device of claim 11, wherein the user interface is adapted to store the switch condition in association with one of the notification profiles to facilitate re-use of the switch condition (see Pars. 0005, 0008, and 0021-26, 0030, 0032 and 0037-40).

Regarding claim 16, Martinez further discloses the device of claim 15, wherein the notification profile enablement component is adapted to access the stored switch condition for re-use (see Pars. 0005, 0008, and 0021-26, 0030, 0032 and 0037-40).

10/782,963

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 17, Martinez further discloses the device of claim 10, wherein the notification profile enablement component comprises a further switch condition that, if satisfied, automatically switches from the next notification profile to a new next notification profile (see Pars. 0009 and 0021-26, 0030, 0032 and 0037-40).

Regarding claim 18, Martinez further discloses the device of claim 10, wherein the next <u>notification</u> profile is defined in accordance with a last <u>notification</u> profile enabled immediately prior to the current <u>notification</u> profile such that said <u>notification</u> profile switch component switches back to the last <u>notification</u> profile. (see Pars. 0009 and 0021-26, 0030, 0032 and 0037-40).

Regarding claim 19, Martinez further discloses the device of claim 10, wherein the notification profile enablement component can be programmed to temporarily activate one of the plurality of notification profiles for an amount of time determined at the mobile device (see Pars. 0009 and 0021-26, 0030, 0032 and 0037-40).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kwasi Karikari whose telephone number is 571-272-8566. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8 am - 4pm). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez* can be reached on 571-272-7915. The fax phone

10/782,963 Art Unit: 2617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8566. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kwasi Karikari Patent Examiner.

12/03/2007

Refael Perez-Gutierrez
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2600
Art Unit 2617